

CITY OF LINCOLN CITY

CITY COUNCIL

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING

September 21, 2020, 10:00 AM

The final minutes for this meeting are supplemented by an electronic recording of the meeting, which may be viewed online at www.lincolncity.org under the tab "Agendas, Packets and Videos". The staff reports, resolutions, ordinances and other documents related to this meeting are also available at the same location. This meeting is rebroadcast on Cable Channel 4. (See Channel 4 guide on the hour at <http://www2.lincolncity.org/program-guide/>).

APPROVED BY CITY COUNCIL

DATE: September 28, 2020

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. ROLL CALL

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Dick Anderson	Mayor	Present	10:00 AM
Judy Casper	Councilor Ward 3	Present	10:00 AM
Diana Hinton	Councilor Ward 1	Present	10:00 AM
Riley Hoagland	Councilor Ward 2	Present	10:00 AM
Rick Mark	Councilor Ward 3	Present	10:00 AM
Mitch Parsons	Councilor Ward 1	Present	10:00 AM

Staff present: Ron Chandler, City Manager; Richard Appicello, City Attorney; Richard Townsend, Interim Planning Director; Jerry Palmer, Lincoln City Chief of Police; Ken Murphy, Emergency Preparedness Coordinator; David Mattison, Senior Planner; David Twigg, I.T. Support Specialist.

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was skipped as this meeting was held remotely.

D. CONSENT AGENDA - NONE

E. COMMENTS FROM CITIZENS PRESENT ON AGENDA/NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Mayor Anderson said that the City was working on an after action review of the recent Fire Emergency. Mayor Anderson asked the public to continue to send comments on this matter to Mr. Chandler. Mr. Chandler will work with Mr. Murphy to prepare a document regarding the review. The document will be forwarded to Lincoln County.

Mr. Chandler read a summary of public comments from Susan Wahlke, Janet Knipe, and Nikki Hayes pertaining to the Fire Emergency. These comments will be attached to these minutes once they are approved by Council. A comment regarding the 24 hour lodging hold rule will be summarized next week when that matter is before Council again.

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS/PUBLIC COMMENT

1. APP 2020-03 The Cove Phase III

Mayor Anderson asked Council about any conflicts of interest? Councilors Hinton, Parsons, Mark, and Casper reported that they had watched the Planning Commission hearings pertaining to this matter but each stated they were not biased from watching them.

Mayor Anderson asked if anyone wished to challenge the qualifications of a City Councilor to participate in this matter? No challenges were received.

Mayor Anderson asked if anyone wished to challenge the jurisdiction of the Council? No challenges were received.

Mayor Anderson described how the hearing would take place. Mr. Townsend advised Council which sections of the Lincoln City Code apply to this matter.

Staff Report

Mr. Townsend summarized the size of the development, the amount of lots in the development, and advised Council the development would be zoned R-M (multi family residential). Mr. Townsend asked Council not to confuse the definition "needed housing" with workforce housing or affordable housing. Any type of housing in Lincoln City meets the "needed housing" definition.

Mr. Townsend said this was a 40 unit application. The area could have up to 64 units. The application is complete. City standards are met. The application has been reviewed by Public Works, the Fire District, ODOT, and the Department of State Lands.

Mr. Townsend said the Planned Unit Development (PUD) meets all standards, with the exception of the landscaping plan, which needs additional information. A condition of approval requires a complete landscaping plan. Once submitted, the proposed landscaping will meet City standards and requirements.

A traffic analysis was not necessary for this development; however, a traffic memo is provided on page 232 of the packet. The memo states the peak traffic as being 25 trips per hour- a fairly low traffic impact from these 40 proposed units. Public Works has reviewed the proposal and found it to be adequate.

The applicant has agreed to provide \$50,000 towards improvement of the intersection at SE 16th and Highway 101. SE 16th will be the primary access road for this development; however, it can also be accessed from SE 14th.

The proposed development is substantially compatible with existing development in the area. There is undeveloped property owned by the Siletz Tribe to the east; to the west is North Lincoln Sanitary Service.

The City Council can set a date for completion up to 2 years out. A condition of approval sets a date of September 28, 2022 - 2 years out from a potential final order date.

The development will have a lot of grading- it's a topographically challenging, heavily wooded site. Construction noise will be generated, but the City has noise controls, prohibits open burning and has track out controls. Construction will impact the City, but those impacts aren't unreasonable.

The development will not create unreasonable impacts to the City. It will feel different - it won't be wooded anymore, it'll be a housing development - but that's not unreasonable for a residentially zoned area. Utilities are adequate, and the design of utilities is subject to Public Works and engineer review. The City has the sewer and water capacity to serve the development.

Currently the final masterplan is the same as the preliminary masterplan, so they both meet City standards. Mr. Townsend again noted the landscaping plan didn't provide enough information to determine full compliance with City standards, but that can be addressed through a condition of approval that has already been added.

Mayor Anderson asked, for clarification, if the conditions of approval recommended would be included if Council were to approve the plan? Mr. Townsend said the conditions of approval are proposed. They can be taken out, and new ones can be added, but they should be made part of the Final Order. There are three approvals with this proposal.

Questions of Staff

Councilor Hinton asked Mr. Townsend about traffic. The development is accessed by a narrow road. She's concerned about North Lincoln Sanitary Service or a North Lincoln Fire Engine getting safely to the development. Councilor Hinton said that Phase 2 of this development did not get fully built out. It's understood that the developer now wants to build the remaining phase 2 houses as well as phase 3 houses. If that's true, that changes the amount of traffic on the road and then the development would require a traffic study.

Mr. Townsend said that North Lincoln Sanitary Service reviewed the application and the Fire Marshal reviewed the application. The width of the road was not a concern of either of theirs.

Councilor Hinton asked about parking? Mr. Townsend said there would have to be parking limitations and these are already in the conditions of approval.

Mr. Townsend said Phase 2 was already approved and is a separate development. Legally the only development under consideration at this time is Phase 3.

Councilor Hinton asked about roads. The roads in this subdivision are private, not public. Is there an Homeowner's Association? Do all PUD's have private roads? Mr. Townsend said they do not. Councilor Hinton would like to discuss whether the roads should be private or public.

Councilor Hinton asked how many log trucks would be on these tiny roads? What impact would they cause? What about the impact from the heavy construction equipment on the roads? Which way will the log trucks and heavy construction equipment go in and out of the development? Councilor Hinton also asked about the risk of mudslide after the trees are cut down.

Mr. Townsend said there was a condition of approval to require construction traffic to use SE 16th. Mr. Townsend suggested Councilor Hinton ask the applicants about their cut and fill balance. Mr. Townsend

said there are erosion control requirements after the land has been cleared. Public Works provides permits for these.

Councilor Hinton asked if there would be an inspector on-site during development? Mr. Townsend said there would not. An arborist would be on site to ensure that trees are removed appropriately and protected trees remain on site.

Councilor Casper asked about the development's connection to stormwater facilities. Councilor Casper said the proposed connection goes out about 400 yards from the development. Will it interfere with North Lincoln Sanitary Service? Mr. Townsend said by definition it should not. The City Engineer has reviewed the plans and is OK with them. There are conditions relating to stormwater management. There has to be stormwater detention and infiltration. Councilor Casper mentioned there was going to be a 6 ft culvert, and rain gardens could help as well.

Applicant's Presentation

Jamie Howsley, Attorney for the Applicant, said that David Scott, a representative of the developer, and AKS Engineering were all present. Mr. Howsley said a letter had been provided as the basis of the appeal. The letter shows how this development meets the City's criteria. Mr. Howsley thanked Mr. Townsend for doing an excellent job presenting to Council. Mr. Howsley said that the applicant had offered \$50,000 in lieu of a traffic study. Mr. Howsley also wanted to add another condition of approval regarding the discussed 2 year timeline for finishing the development.

Mr. Howsley advised Councilor Hinton that information on landscaping could be found in Condition 2. Information regarding parking could be found in Condition 20(a). Erosion control is addressed in a number of conditions. Condition 8 covers the permit for grading, while conditions 11-15 spell out stormwater requirements.

Chris Goodell from AKS Engineering presented a PowerPoint to Council about the development. Mr. Goodell said that a traffic engineer, Lancaster Mobley, provided the traffic memo in the record. Mr. Goodell said that this project will bring a significant amount of system development charges to the City and will also provide funding to Lincoln County School District.

Mr. Goodell said that North Lincoln Sanitary Service and North Lincoln Fire were in favor of this design and recommend approval. Parking issues have been handled in a condition of approval. All construction traffic will use SE 16th St to access the development.

The preliminary plans include on packet page 10 a preliminary erosion control plan that shows the measures included in this development. With respect to inspections, the developer will need a DEQ 1200(c) permit.

Councilor Hinton asked Mr. Goodell for answers to the questions, rather than being referred back to packet pages.

Mr. Goodell said erosion control measures include gravel construction entrances, sedimentation fences, and construction fences on the downhill side to stop sedimentation getting into the City system. Mr. Goodell offered to read the condition of approval pertaining to Parking. He read condition 20, which includes language about where no parking signs will be placed.

Mr. Goodell said that logging trucks will use SE 16th for ingress and egress.

Councilor Hinton asked about the amount of trees that would be removed and how many log trucks would be needed.

Councilor Hoagland asked if the trees being preserved were on or off site. Are 1,000 trees being removed?

Monty Early from AKS Engineering said the 52 trees that are being retained are on-site. There's roughly 700 trees that will be removed. The balance of those are off-site trees. Mr. Early estimates between 10 - 20 log trucks will be needed to remove the trees. The trees should be removed in one construction season, between the spring and fall before the heavy rains. DEQ and the City permits for erosion control require the site to be fully vegetated by October 1.

Councilor Hinton asked why only 10 - 20 loads of logs? Mr. Early said the trees are mostly hemlock - they're small diameter trees..

Councilor Hinton asked about the road and the \$50,000 offer towards the road repair. AKS Engineering said the \$50,000 is in addition to other potential repairs they might need to do. If the development damages City roads during construction, it's the developer's responsibility to make repairs. Councilor Hinton said she wanted to hear this from the applicant and get this on the record.

Earthwork will be balanced on the site to the best extent possible. However, it's a smaller site and there will be grading to meet City and Fire department requirements.

Councilor Mark asked about trees being removed for streets first while leaving trees on individual lots until building permits are issued for those lots. AKS Engineering would like to do the tree removal and grading all at once. There's a condition of approval that states building permits must be issued before grading can happen on the lots. AKS Engineering doesn't think this is the right way to handle this site but they can work that way.

Councilor Mark asked Mr. Appicello about the tree grading requirement. Mr. Appicello said there's a lot of earth moving with this development and it's hard to keep a couple of trees on a lot with a lot of grading going on. Mr. Appicello says the City Engineer should review the plans and make a determination. Mr. Townsend confirmed that was the correct way to proceed. Mr. Townsend said a completely flat site would allow for trees to be removed simply for streets and infrastructure; however, given the topography and grading necessary on this site, there will be a lot more tree removal required. Councilor Mark if it was part of the City administrative process to allow a developer to take trees off lots? Mr. Townsend said what will happen is that, when they are ready to grade the initial infrastructure, a review will take place. The ordinance states the developer can only remove what is necessary at that point. If the developer gets the building permits they will be in good shape to remove trees.

Councilor Mark asked if it was possible to get around the building permit requirement and remove trees all at once? Mr. Appicello said the City Engineer can review what is going on and make appropriate determinations. Mr. Townsend agreed, saying things would be different if the site was flat.

Councilor Mark asked about the \$50,000 contribution from the applicant to improve the intersection at SE 50th St. It appears to be gravel- how much would it cost to pave it?

AKS Engineering said SE 16th is a combination of pavement and rock. The road is not in great condition. They got estimates on a pavement overlay for the street - they were around \$50,000, which motivated the applicant to offer the \$50,000 as a voluntary contribution to the City. AKS Engineering said the City could also use the money for other projects.

Mr. Appicello said the \$50,000 could be added to a Local Improvement District (LID) for that area to help area properties pay for the cost of improvement.

Councilor Hinton asked the applicant if they could save more trees? She understands the difficulty in doing so. Mayor Anderson said that, with this application, the applicant would have 2 years to complete streets and infrastructure.

Councilor Hinton said she wanted the housing in the development to be built. Councilor Hinton was concerned about the risk of mudslides coming from undeveloped properties, especially if building is not completed until 2026. Mayor Anderson said the market will decide how quick the housing will be built. Mr. Howsley said the applicant was confident there was a market for this housing in Lincoln City. A larger development is more attractive for builders.

Public Comments in Support

Cam Provost- supports the project but wants more clarification on the \$50,000 contribution. Will it be used for SE 16th St?

Public Comments in Opposition

Laura Quillman- said that the Fire Marshal told her that ingress and egress streets were not acceptable. Roads do not allow for on-street parking. In Phase 1 at least one design does not allow for a pick up truck to park in a garage. The roads should be marked with permanent no parking and fire lane signs on both sides of the road. Ms. Quillman said Phase 1 of this development does not meet aerial firefighting requirements. Ms. Quillman asked who will enforce the no parking requirements? Ms. Quillman is not against development in this location; however, she expects an attractive subdivision that fits the needs of the community. Ms. Quillman asserted that Phase 3 is an attempt to make up for the failure of Phase 2. Ms. Quillman said that the lack of sales in Phase 2 suggests the market for attached single-family homes isn't good in Lincoln City.

Mr. Chandler read a public comment from Patti Kroen. Ms. Kroen discussed the need for street improvements and a traffic study. Ms. Kroen discussed clear cutting and the lack of a landscaping plan. Ms. Kroen is concerned the property will remain undeveloped and invasive species will grow.

Rebuttal

Mr. Howsley addressed Mr. Provost's concern. Condition of Approval #24 discusses road maintenance during construction activity. The applicant would be willing for the City to escalate the language in this condition. The \$50,000 could be used to improve SE 16th but that would be at the City's discretion.

AKS Engineering said they have coordinated with Public Works and the Fire Marshal. A condition of approval states that the project must comply with fire code 503. Aerial fire apparatus is not required for this project, so the 26ft width requirement cited by Ms. Quillman doesn't apply.

Mr. Howsley said the applicant believes the project meets all criteria for development. The applicant requests that City Council grant their appeal, with additional conditions regarding the \$50,000 voluntary contribution for street maintenance and tree removal, and others.

Councilor Hinton asked the applicant about addressing the comments that were included in the packet. Ms. Hinton was concerned about the fire marshal and school district comments. Councilor Mark said he would have liked the Fire Marshal to attend the meeting today. If the plan was approved, would the fire

district get to approve it? Or does Council's approval override any comments from the fire district? Mr. Townsend said that plans submitted are required to comply with fire code, and Public Works (and presumably the fire marshal) enforce that.

Mr. Townsend read and analysed the public comments in the packet.

Councilor Hinton asked if an HOA had been established? AKS said they believe so, but can't answer with 100% confidence. Mr. Appicello said that common areas in the development were likely not turned over to the Homeowner's Association at this early stage.

Mr. Goodell from AKS Engineering discussed SE Marine Avenue. This is a public street, so the City could add no parking signs to it.

Request Continuance or Leave Record Open

Mayor Anderson asked if any participant wanted to request a continuance to provide additional testimony or request that the record be left open for purposes of submitting extra materials. Mr. Appicello said that, as this wasn't a first hearing on the matter, this step was not required.

Ms. Quillen (in the audience) made a request to continue. Mr. Appicello confirmed that, as Ms. Quillen made public comment, she participated and could request a continuance. Mr. Appicello said a continuance was not legally required. Ms. Quillen asked for a continuance. Ms. Quillen said the reason for the continuance is the late date (Thursday September 17) of lots of information being added to the City website. Ms. Quillen said the links weren't working for her and she didn't see packets page 260 and page 261.

Mayor Anderson asked if the applicant would agree with this request? Mr. Howsley said the applicant would prefer not to continue this matter; however, if the matter is continued the applicant wants the opportunity to rebut any new testimony entered into the record.

Councilor Hinton asked about the packet. Was updated information placed on the website after the packet was published? Mr. Twigg said the packet was published on Thursday September 17. He did not review the staff report or accompanying materials. Mr. Twigg asked for Mr. Townsend or Mr. Chandler to advise what was approved. Mr. Appicello said that new information was provided before Thursday; Mr. Townsend said that all new information was in the published packet.

Mr. Appicello said Council could consider a continuance or leaving the record open until 5 pm on September 28. This would allow Council to deliberate on this matter and make a decision on September 28. Mr. Appicello recommends closing the hearing today, and leaving the record open until 5 pm on Monday September 28.

Mr. Howsley was agreeable to leaving the record open until 5 pm on September 28.

Councilor Hinton asked for a simple list of conditions from Mr. Townsend and Mr. Howsley agreeing to all items discussed today. This would save time for deliberations on September 28. Mr. Appicello said that would be done.

MOTION:	Motion to close the Hearing for App 2020-03, The Cove Phase III, leaving the record open until 5 pm on September 28
MOVER:	Judy Casper, Councilor Ward 3
SECONDER:	Riley Hoagland, Councilor Ward 2
AYES:	Anderson, Casper, Hinton, Hoagland, Mark, Parsons
RESULT:	Passed via Voice Vote

G. ORDINANCES - NONE

H. RESOLUTIONS - NONE

I. SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

J. CITY MANAGER/CITY ATTORNEY REPORTS

K. ACTIONS, IF ANY, BASED ON WORK SESSION OR EXECUTIVE SESSION

L. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM CITIZENS PRESENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Mr. Chandler read a comment from Rock Your World calling for his termination.

Fran Lonnon- Ms. Lonnon spoke at the last Council meeting regarding the fire emergency. Ms. Lonnon has received a lot of comments from the Community and thanked Councilor Hinton for responding to her questions. Ms. Lonnon asked if the City would have an evacuation plan meeting? How are the issues going to be fixed? Ms. Lonnon requested that Council put on an agenda item on September 28 regarding the evacuation plan.

Mayor Anderson told Ms. Lonnon the City was collecting public comments. On Monday next week the City is having an after action review, which will then go before Council. Ms. Lonnon asked for a completion date. Mayor Anderson said this would hopefully be completed by early October. Mayor Anderson said this document would be passed on to Lincoln County, who was responsible for managing this emergency.

Ms. Lonnon said the City is responsible for its own evacuation plan by law. Mayor Anderson said the City will look into it.

Ms. Lonnon said the City of Ashland has a comprehensive emergency evacuation plan that Lincoln City should model its plan after. Ms. Lonnon also discussed CERT training and the lack of training sessions for CERT in Lincoln County.

M. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM COUNCIL

Councilor Casper discussed enforcement. It's pertinent to look at fireworks violations and misuse, VRD issues and misuse. Councilor Casper would like staff to give direction on these topics in the near future. Councilor Hinton agrees with Councilor Casper.

N. ADJOURNMENT

// s //
DICK ANDERSON, MAYOR

ATTEST:

// s // David Twigg for Cathy Steere
CATHY STEERE, CITY RECORDER

Ronald Chandler

From: Susan Wahlke [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 10:19 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: 9/14/20 special meeting

We need a better evacuation process, to relieve congestion. Encourage people to sign up for Lincoln alerts. We need to find places for people to camp.

Sent from my iPhone

Ronald Chandler

From: Susan Wahlke [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 10:47 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Donations

We need to be sure the donations get to the people who need them

Sent from my iPhone

Ronald Chandler

From: Janet Knipe [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2020 9:09 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Emergency Preparedness Suggestions

Dear Mayor Anderson, Members of the City Council, City Manager Chandler:

On September 19, the Roads End Improvement Association (REIA) held our September membership meeting which was attended by 30 people. We were grateful to have a presentation by Ken Murphy summarizing events and actions taken during the recent Echo Mountain Fire and evacuation emergency.

After his presentation, he fielded questions and listened to suggestions from our members, which you will see below. We believe it is important that our members—and all residents--have an opportunity to have their voices heard and to share their feedback with Lincoln City officials because this emergency impacted us deeply. As Ken Murphy stated, in an emergency, there are always ways to improve and lessons to be learned for the future.

REIA would also like to express our appreciation for the hard work of all of the first responders, emergency personnel, police and volunteers who worked tirelessly to keep ours, and other, neighborhoods safe.

Suggested areas for improvement for future emergencies:

- 1) **COMMUNICATION ISSUES:** Residents did not receive consistent and accurate information. Not only were there too few updates but also cell service can be difficult in Roads End at any time; cell companies are not likely to improve what is here. Specifically:
 - One central point of communication and one main radio station is needed; residents could not find news on wide-band radio. A universal repeater works, but not without power;
 - Police scanners were mentioned favorably, but residents need more information on what channels would provide the most up to date information in an emergency;
 - Evacuees needed a way to get updated news and information while parked in lines on Logan Road waiting to get onto Hwy101;
 - We need the crucial ability to receive text alerts;
 - Email was limited as a means of communication because the power was out;
 - Need to consider the use of the tsunami siren system for alerting people to other emergencies, not just tsunamis;
 - Land lines tied into the wall worked well, but internet-based phone service did not (no power);
 - Battery-operated radio was not very effective;
 - Need to publish a specific list of what services work best for our neighborhood (and perhaps for all neighborhoods in Lincoln City). In Roads End, it seemed that Centurylink landlines and Verizon cell service was the best.

2) **TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT ISSUES:** Residents sat in their cars waiting to evacuate onto Logan Road and arterials for more than two hours, without any official information on the status of the evacuation. We were frightened for our lives. We know all too well that egress from Roads End is only via Logan Road, which quickly became a parking lot. Suggestions:

- Staff trained in traffic disaster management should be quickly deployed;
- The back gate of Star Resort on 50th Street could be an additional means of egress in an emergency;
- Make both lanes on Logan outbound (we understand the police wanted to keep one lane open for emergency vehicles and personnel but if staff were directing traffic that could be managed).

3) **VRD ISSUES:** This emergency began shortly after Labor Day weekend, but many visitors remained in VRDs in Roads End. They did not know what was happening, what to do or where to go. There are 198 (unlimited and accessory use) VRDs in Roads End, which could result in hundreds of additional cars on Logan Road and over 2000 extra people who have no information on emergency preparedness or how to respond. Emergencies such as these reiterate the need to have proper, limited guest parking which reduces excess vehicles at these homes and does not allow parking on the sides of narrow streets. We also wondered if any of the short-term rental property management companies offered to help their renters who were probably not familiar with local issues, such as poor cell service, location of emergency evacuation sites, and the poor capacity of our road system. As Ken Murphy said, "This is what keeps me awake at night." It keeps us awake, too.

- Emergency preparedness information and evacuation routes must be required and posted (not just suggested) in VRDs;
- Rules must be implemented requiring VRD management companies: 1) furnishing properties with extensive emergency /safety information; 2) requiring people to read and sign before booking that they have read and understand emergency instructions and agree to abide by them; 3) all properties must, at a minimum, have safety equipment, such as flash lights and emergency contact numbers.

Roads End residents, and all of Lincoln City, survived a major emergency situation, but many in surrounding areas were not as fortunate. Despite our neighborhood being spared, we found the emergency preparedness systems of the City and County to be insufficient. We implore City officials to use this as an opportunity to learn how we can do things better going forward to better protect citizens in future disasters and to establish a proper funding source to begin adding necessary resources to respond to the noted problem areas. Thank you for your consideration.

Best,

Janet Knipe, President

Roads End Improvement Association

--

Janet Knipe

Ronald Chandler

From: Nikki Hayes [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2020 7:15 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Emergency preparedness

Good morning,

I know you have heard many in our community that are crying for an emergency preparedness coordinator. (Department) I think the proof is in the disaster we just experienced.

I would like to add to this department a list of at risk individuals that could not evacuate or communicate. I have a 93 year old and his wife that were left in the dark for 2 days and only by the assistance of another neighbor contacting police to assist with police escort by friends to safety.

These two people were sitting on their coach waiting for help.

My ex brother in law who has since passed away. He could not drive and packed his bag and went down to the store beneath his apartment to try to find a ride.

There needs to be a list that can be followed up on to assure the evacuation of this vulnerable population.

Nikki Hayes

Sent from my iPhone

I am submitting these comments as a private citizen of Lincoln City. These comments are regarding The Cove development:

1. This is part of a larger development that received approval years ago. The city determined at that time that a traffic analysis was necessary and as a result of that analysis, developed mitigation for traffic impacts in the form of improvements to 16th Street. The developer allowed the approval to lapse without completing the required street improvement. This current proposal is the same footprint as the original one with the subtraction of the lots developed before – so by itself, does not initiate a new traffic study. However, the same impacts will result if this is approved and the previous mitigation requirement should be imposed to address traffic impacts.
2. The applicant intends to clear-cut the property (removing over 90% of the trees on site) without building permit applications on file. This is not in compliance with city tree ordinance.
3. There is no detailed landscaping plan provided that is detailed enough to determine compliance with city code.

The developer should agree to the traffic mitigation, cut only the trees necessary for building development and infrastructure, and provide detailed landscaping plan to ensure that the property doesn't sit idle and become overrun with invasive species while waiting for buyers.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Patti Kroen

Ronald Chandler

From: Rock Your World [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2020 10:28 AM
To: Public Comment; City Council; Richard Appicello
Subject: Over 400 pages and #7 risk

It is unconscionable that Lincoln City had the emergency plans in place to deal with wildfire and that plan was not followed. The buck stops with Ronald Chandler and if it wasn't for the wind to change you would have thousands of charred human carcasses on Highway 101 and it would be the city's liability. We would be no longer a city.

You need to put both of those things in context when you allow Ron Chandler to keep his job.

I hope four of you can find the gumption to save our town from his mismanagement before we all die from his ineptitude. He left his last two jobs in Utah quickly and he needs to leave this one quickly.

Let's find someone who cares and who will actually take care of the citizens instead of let us burn while city council is in ignorance of the situation.

It is absolutely 100% not okay that I was alerting certain city council members to changes before the city was. Me, as a private citizen should not have better access to information than our city government leadership. 100% failure on Ron Chandler's part and he needs to be held accountable.

Signed,
Business Owner
Land Owner
Human Being